

## REVIEW PROCEDURE

INDIAN JOURNAL OF

APPLIED-BASIC MEDICAL SCIENCES

[Published by National Society of integration of Applied-Basic Medical Sciences] SINCE 1999

CORS. ADDRESS: POST BOX NO.1 2 0 2 8

P A L D I POST OFFICE AHMEDABAD - 380 007 INDIA

Email: [ijabms@gmail.com](mailto:ijabms@gmail.com) , [soham2007@yohoo.com](mailto:soham2007@yohoo.com)

<http://www.themedicalacademy.in>, [www.nsiabms7.wordpress.com](http://www.nsiabms7.wordpress.com)

e ISSN :2249 -7935

p ISSN: 0975-8917

NLM ID: 101538966,

LCCN: 2010243656

INDEXED with INDEX COPERNICUS 2015 -IC value : 88.02



---

## REVIEW PROCEDURE

Corresponding author is an author who submits the paper to the journal.

Our journal accepts ONLY original article

by email i.e. [ijabms@gmail.com](mailto:ijabms@gmail.com), [soham2007@yohoo.com](mailto:soham2007@yohoo.com)

/CD/ Pen drive or physical print format also. Editorial Office assess the original articles , composition and arrangement against the journal's Author Guidelines as mentioned below to make sure it fulfill the basic requirements of journal .It also include various sections and subsections as per recommendation of [www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org)

The journal being a member of ICMJE the editorial try to follow the guide line of ICMEJ and when ever any dispute take reference of [www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org) or

<http://www.themedicalacademy.in/fxconsult1/userfiles/GUIDE%20LINES%20FOR%20AUTHORS.pdf>

First Editor-in-Chief checks that the paper is appropriate for the journal and is sufficiently original and interesting. If not, the paper may be rejected without being reviewed any further. If the article is meaning in context to journal policy the editor-in-Chief assigns reviewer or reviewers. Editor sends invitations to reviewer who he or she believes would be appropriate reviewers as topics and specialty **TO TITLE OF ORIGINAL ARTICLE.**

Usually one expert reviewer in his/her specialty is adequate consider enough but if editor in chief thinks ,he or she can invite more than one reviewers. Potential reviewers are considered for the invitation according to their own expertise, conflicts of interest and availability. *If one reviewer refuse to accept the assignment than.* if possible they might also suggest alternative reviewers.

At the time of sending article or paper for peer reviewing the name of the author are remove to avoid bias. The reviewer sets time aside to read the paper several times. The first read is used to form an initial impression of the work. If major problems are found at this stage, the reviewer allowed to feel comfortable for rejecting the paper without further work. Otherwise they will read the paper several more times, taking notes so as to build a detailed point-by-point review.

The review is then submitted to the journal office usually be email or be written letter with a recommendation to accept or reject it – or else with a request for revision or needful reconstruction or clarification. Journal editor evaluates the reviews considers all the reviews and make an appropriate decision.

The decision is communicated to authors especially to the corresponding author via email or by letter to the author including any relevant reviewer comments.

If *accepted*, the paper is sent to printing production.

If the article is *rejected* sent back to correspond author.

If reviews remarks for either major or minor *revision*, the editor send constructive comments to author improve the article. In this context the editor also communicates with reviewer to clarify the revision so that editor give to give proper feedback to authors for necessary revision and reconstruction of original article.

*Reference: [www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com), [www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org)*